

OPINIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT AND COURT OF APPEALS OF SOUTH CAROLINA

ADVANCE SHEET NO. 20 June 8, 2022 Patricia A. Howard, Clerk Columbia, South Carolina www.sccourts.org

CONTENTS

THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

PUBLISHED OPINIONS AND ORDERS

28097 – In the Interest of Christopher H.	14
Order – In the Matter of Johnny Simpson	16

UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS

None

PETITIONS - UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

28074 – State v. Kelvin Jones	Pending
2020-000919 - Sharon Brown v. Cherokee County School District	Pending
2021-001460 – Randolph Ashford v. State	Pending

EXTENSION TO FILE PETITION - UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

28081 – Steven Louis Barnes v. State Granted until 07/02/2022

PETITIONS FOR REHEARING

28089 – State v. Jerome Jenkins, Jr.	Denied 6/07/2022
28094 – State v. Justin Jamal Warner	Denied 6/07/2022
28095 – The Protestant Episcopal Church v. The Episcopal Church	ch Pending

THE SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

PUBLISHED OPINIONS

None

UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS

2022-UP-114 – State v. Mutekis J. Williams (Withdrawn, Substituted, and Refiled)

2022-UP-237 – SCDSS v. Tracy Scibuola (Filed June 1, 2022)

2022-UP-238 – State v. Anthony B. Allen

2022-UP-239 – State v. James D. Busby

2022-UP-240 – Debra L. Holliday v. Bobby R. Holliday

2022-UP-241 – Weldon Wall, Sr. v. Harold Wall, Sr.

2022-UP-242 – State v. Anthony Davis

2022-UP-243 – In the Matter of Altmeter B. Robinson (2)

2022-UP-244 – State v. Daniel L. Pease

2022-UP-245 - State v. John Steen d/b/a John Steen Bail Bonding

2022-UP-246 - Donna Bell Sellers v. Grover Seaton, III

2022-UP-247 – Mary Shelton v. Jack Shelton

2022-UP-248 – Raymond Wedlake v. Christopher Edwards

 $2022\text{-}UP\text{-}249-Thomas\ Thompson\ v.\ SCDC$

2022-UP-250 – Joseph and Olga Hoffer v. Kayln Shackelford (Filed June 3, 2022)

2022-UP-251 – Lady Beaufort, LLC v. Hird Island Investments

2022-UP-252 – Lady Beaufort, LLC v. Hird Island Investments (2)

2022-UP-253 – Mathes Auto Sales v. Dixon Automotive

2022-UP-254 – State v. K'Sone M. Campbell

2022-UP-255 – Frances K. Chestnut v. Florence Keese

2022-UP-256 – Sterling Hills v. Elliot Hayes

2022-UP-257 – William Morgan v. Sterling Estates

2022-UP-258 - Stephanie M. Schoumacher v. Frieda M. Shorter

2022-UP-259 – Antwan D. McMillan v. State

2022-UP-260 – State v. William S. F. Brown

PETITIONS FOR REHEARING

5898 – Josie Bostick v. Earl Bostick, Sr.

Pending

5902 – Larry Tyler v. State

Pending

5905 – State v. Richard K. Galloway

Denied 06/02/2022

5906 – Isaac D. Brailey v. Michelin, N.A.

Pending

5907 – State v. Sherwin A. Green

Pending

2022-UP-096 – Samuel Paulino v. Diversified Coatings, Inc.

Pending

2022-UP-114 – State v. Mutekis J. Williams

Denied 06/08/2022

2022-UP-161 – Denis Yeo v. Lexington County Assessor	Pending
2022-UP-169 – Richard Ladson v. THI of South Carolina at Charleston	Pending
2022-UP-171 – SCDSS v. Lacie Smith	Pending
2022-UP-180 – Berkley T. Feagin v. Cambria C. Feagin	Pending
2022-UP-183 – Raymond A. Wedlake v. Scott Bashor	Pending
2022-UP-184 – Raymond Wedlake v. Woodington Homeowners	Pending
2022-UP-186 – William B. Justice v. State	Pending
2022-UP-189 – State v. Jordan M. Hodge	Pending
2022-UP-192 – Nivens v. JB&E Heating and Cooling, Inc. Denied	06/02/2022
2022-UP-196 – SCDSS v. Woodrow Shannon	Pending
2022-UP-197 – State v. Kenneth W. Carlisle	Pending
2022-UP-205 – Katkams Ventures, LLC v. No Limit, LLC	Pending
2022-UP-207 – Floyd Hargrove v. Anthony Griffis, Sr.	Pending
2022-UP-209 – State v. Dustin L. Hooper	Pending
2022-UP-212 – Daniel Amor v. Pamela Amor	Pending
2022-UP-213 – Dr. Gregory May v. Advanced Cardiology	Pending
2022-UP-214 – Alison Meyers v. Shiram Hospitality, LLC	Pending

PETITIONS – SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

5731 – Jericho State v. Chicago Title Insurance

Pending

5738 – The Kitchen Planners v. Samuel E. Friedman	Pending
5769 – Fairfield Waverly v. Dorchester County Assessor	Pending
5776 – State v. James Heyward	Pending
5782 – State v. Randy Wright	Pending
5794 – Sea Island Food v. Yaschik Development (2)	Pending
5816 – State v. John E. Perry, Jr.	Pending
5818 - Opternative v. SC Board of Medical Examiners	Pending
5821 – The Estate of Jane Doe 202 v. City of North Charleston	Pending
5822 – Vickie Rummage v. BGF Industries	Pending
5824 – State v. Robert Lee Miller, III	Pending
5826 – Charleston Development v. Younesse Alami	Pending
5827 – Francisco Ramirez v. May River Roofing, Inc.	Pending
5829 – Thomas Torrence #094651 v. SCDC	Pending
5832 – State v. Adam Rowell	Pending
5834 – Vanessa Williams v. Bradford Jeffcoat	Pending
5835 – State v. James Caleb Williams	Pending
5838 – Elizabeth Hope Rainey v. SCDSS	Pending
5839 – In the Matter of Thomas Griffin	Pending
5840 – Daniel Lee Davis v. ISCO Industries, Inc.	Pending

5841 – State v. Richard Passio, Jr.	Pending
5843 – Quincy Allen #6019 v. SCDC	Pending
5844 – Deutsche Bank v. Patricia Owens	Pending
5845 – Daniel O'Shields v. Columbia Automotive	Pending
5846 – State v. Demontay M. Payne	Pending
5849 – SC Property and Casualty Guaranty Fund v. Second Injury Fund	Pending
5850 – State v. Charles Dent	Pending
5851 – State v. Robert X. Geter	Pending
5853 – State v. Shelby Harper Taylor	Pending
5854 – Jeffrey Cruce v. Berkeley Cty. School District	Pending
5855 – SC Department of Consumer Affairs v. Cash Central	Pending
5856 – Town of Sullivan's Island v. Michael Murray	Pending
5858 – Beverly Jolly v. General Electric Company	Pending
5859 – Mary P. Smith v. Angus M. Lawton	Pending
5860 – Kelaher, Connell & Conner, PC v. SCWCC	Pending
5861 – State v. Randy Collins	Pending
5863 – State v. Travis L. Lawrence	Pending
5864 – Treva Flowers v. Bang N. Giep, M.D.	Pending
5865 – S.C. Public Interest Foundation v. Richland County	Pending
5866 – Stephanie Underwood v. SSC Seneca Operating Co.	Pending

5867 – Victor M. Weldon v. State	Pending
5868 – State v. Tommy Lee Benton	Pending
5870 – Modesta Brinkman v. Weston & Sampson Engineers, Inc.	Pending
5871 – Encore Technology Group, LLC v. Keone Trask and Clear Touch	Pending
5874 – Elizabeth Campione v. Willie Best	Pending
5875 – State v. Victoria L. Sanchez	Pending
5877 – Travis Hines v. State	Pending
5878 – State v. Gregg Pickrell	Pending
5880 – Stephen Wilkinson v. Redd Green Investments	Pending
5882 – Donald Stanley v. Southern State Police	Pending
5884 – Frank Rish, Sr. v. Kathy Rish	Pending
5885 – State v. Montrell Lamont Campbell	Pending
5888 – Covil Corp. v. Pennsylvania National Mut. Ins. Co.	Pending
5891 – Dale Brooks v. Benore Logistics System, Inc.	Pending
5892 – State v. Thomas Acker	Pending
5900 – Donald Simmons v. Benson Hyundai, LLC	Pending
5904 – State v. Eric E. English	Pending
2020-UP-244 – State v. Javon Dion Gibbs	Pending
2021-UP-009 – Paul Branco v. Hull Storey Retail	Pending

2021-UP-105 – Orveletta Alston v. Conway Manor, LLC	Pending
2021-UP-121 – State v. George Cleveland, III	Pending
2021-UP-141 – Evelyn Hemphill v. Kenneth Hemphill	Pending
2021-UP-147 – Gavin V. Jones v. State	Pending
2021-UP-161 -Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Albert Sanders (2)	Pending
2021-UP-162 – First-Citizens Bank v. Linda Faulkner	Pending
2021-UP-167 – Captain's Harbour v. Jerald Jones (2)	Pending
2021-UP-171 – Anderson Brothers Bank v. Dazarhea Monique Parson(3)	Pending
2021-UP-182 – State v. William Lee Carpenter	Pending
2021-UP-196 – State v. General T. Little	Pending
2021-UP-229 – Peter Rice v. John Doe	Pending
2021-UP-230 – John Tomsic v. Angel Tomsic	Pending
2021-UP-242 – G. Allen Rutter v. City of Columbia	Pending
2021-UP-247 – Michael A. Rogers v. State	Pending
2021-UP-252 – Betty Jean Perkins v. SCDOT	Pending
2021-UP-254 – State v. William C. Sellers	Pending
2021-UP-259 – State v. James Kester	Pending
2021-UP-272 – Angela Bain v. Denise Lawson	Pending
2021-UP-273 – SCDHEC v. Davenport	Pending
2021-UP-275 – State v. Marion C. Wilkes	Pending

2021-UP-277 – State v. Dana L. Morton	Pending
2021-UP-278 – State v. Jason Franklin Carver	Pending
2021-UP-279 – State v. Therron R. Richardson	Pending
2021-UP-280 – Carpenter Braselton, LLC v. Ashley Roberts	Pending
2021-UP-281 – In the Matter of the Estate of Harriet Kathleen Henry Tims	Pending
2021-UP-283 – State v. Jane Katherine Hughes	Pending
2021-UP-288 – Gabriel Barnhill v. J. Floyd Swilley	Pending
2021-UP-289 – Hicks Unlimited v. UniFirst Corporation	Pending
2021-UP-293 – Elizabeth Holland v. Richard Holland	Pending
2021-UP-298 – State v. Jahru Harold Smith	Pending
2021-UP-302 – State v. Brandon J. Lee	Pending
2021-UP-306 – Kenneth L. Barr v. Darlington Cty. School Dt.	Pending
2021-UP-312 – Dorchester Cty. Taxpayers Assoc. v. Dorchester Cty.	Pending
2021-UP-330 – State v. Carmie J. Nelson	Pending
2021-UP-336 – Bobby Foster v. Julian Neil Armstrong (2)	Pending
2021-UP-341 – Phillip Francis Luke Hughes v. Bank of America	Pending
2021-UP-351 – State v. Stacardo Grissett	Pending
2021-UP-354 – Phillip Francis Luke Hughes v. Bank of America (2)	Pending
2021-UP-360 – Dewberry v. City of Charleston	Pending

2021-UP-367 – Glenda Couram v. Sherwood Tidwell	Pending
2021-UP-368 – Andrew Waldo v. Michael Cousins	Pending
2021-UP-370 – State v. Jody R. Thompson	Pending
2021-UP-372 – Allen Stone v. State	Pending
2021-UP-373 – Glenda Couram v. Nationwide Mutual	Pending
2021-UP-384 – State v. Roger D. Grate	Pending
2021-UP-385 – David Martin v. Roxanne Allen	Pending
2021-UP-395 – State v. Byron L. Rivers	Pending
2021-UP-396 – State v. Matthew J. Bryant	Pending
2021-UP-399 – Henry Still, V v. Barbara Vaughn	Pending
2021-UP-400 – Rita Brooks v. Velocity Powersports, LLC	Pending
2021-UP-405 – Christopher E. Russell v. State	Pending
2021-UP-408 – State v. Allen A. Fields	Pending
2021-UP-418 – Jami Powell (Encore) v. Clear Touch Interactive	Pending
2021-UP-422 – Timothy Howe v. Air & Liquid Systems (Cleaver-Brooks)	Pending
2021-UP-429 – State v. Jeffery J. Williams	Pending
2021-UP-436 – Winston Shell v. Nathaniel Shell	Pending
2021-UP-437 – State v. Malik J. Singleton	Pending
2021-UP-447 – Jakarta Young #276572 v. SCDC	Pending

2021-UP-454 – K.A. Diehl and Assoc. Inc. v. James Perkins	Pending
2022-UP-003 – Kevin Granatino v. Calvin Williams	Pending
2022-UP-021 – State v. Justin Bradley Cameron	Pending
2022-UP-022 – H. Hughes Andrews v. Quentin S. Broom, Jr.	Pending
2022-UP-023 – Desa Ballard v. Redding Jones, PLLC	Pending
2022-UP-025 – Nathenia Rossington v. Julio Rossington	Pending
2022-UP-028 – Demetrius Mack v. Leon Lott (2)	Pending
2022-UP-033 – E.G. and J.J. v. SCDSS	Pending
2022-UP-036 – John Burgess v. Katherine Hunter	Pending
2022-UP-051 – Ronald Paul v. SCDOT (2)	Pending
2022-UP-059 – James Primus #252315 v. SCDC	Pending
2022-UP-063 – Rebecca Rowe v. Family Health Centers, Inc.	Pending
2022-UP-075 – James A. Johnson v. State	Pending
2022-UP-081 – Gena Davis v. SCDC	Pending
2022-UP-085 – Richard Ciampanella v. City of Myrtle Beach	Pending
2022-UP-089 – Elizabeth Lofton v. Berkeley Electric Coop. Inc.	Pending
2022-UP-097 – State v. Brandon K. Moore	Pending
2022-UP-119 – Merilee Landano v. Norman Landano	Pending
2022-UP-146 – M & T Bank v. Tyrone Davis	Pending
2022-UP-163 – Debi Brookshire v. Community First Bank	Pending

2022-UP-170 - Tony Young v. Greenwood Cty. Sheriff's Office

Pending

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Supreme Court

In the Interest of Christopher H., a Juvenile under the age of Seventeen, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2021-000371

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from Richland County W. Greg Seigler, Family Court Judge

Opinion No. 28097 Submitted April 6, 2022 - Filed June 8, 2022

DISMISSED

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson, Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General Deborah R.J. Shupe, of Columbia; Solicitor, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Samuel R. Hubbard III, of Lexington, all for Petitioner.

Appellate Defender Taylor Davis Gilliam, of Columbia, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: We granted the State's petition for a writ of certiorari to review the court of appeals decision in *Int. of Christopher H.*, 432 S.C. 600, 854 S.E.2d 853 (Ct. App. 2021). We agreed to address whether the court of appeals: 1) improperly interpreted subsection 23-3-430(D) of the South Carolina Code (2007) and the

legislative intent behind it; 2) improperly ignored the proper standard of appellate review by weighing evidence and substituting its own interpretation of the evidence for that of the family court; and 3) improperly based its decision only on testimony presented at the family court hearing while ignoring the other evidence supporting the family court's determination of good cause. Shortly before oral argument was to be heard in this Court, the Governor signed into law Act 221 of 2022, which substantially amended subsection 23-3-430(D) and enacted section 23-3-436. Act No. 221, 2022 S.C. Acts ____, ___. After reviewing Act 221, the Court directed its Clerk to write the parties asking them to be prepared to discuss justiciability at oral argument. The State then filed a motion to dismiss its petition on the ground Act 221 rendered the issues moot and asking the Court to vacate the opinion of the court of appeals. Respondent filed a return indicating his consent to the dismissal but opposing the State's request to vacate the opinion of the court of appeals. After careful consideration, we agree the issues before the Court are now moot, and without reaching the merits of those issues, we dismiss the petition for a writ of certiorari. We decline, however, to vacate the opinion of the court of appeals.

DISMISSED.

BEATTY, C.J., KITTREDGE, HEARN and FEW, JJ., concur. JAMES, J., not participating.

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

In the Matter of Johnny Simpson, Respondent.

Appellate Case Nos. 2022-000585 and 2022-000586

ORDER

The Office of Disciplinary Counsel has petitioned the Court to place Respondent on incapacity inactive status pursuant to Rule 28(c) of the Rules for Lawyer Disciplinary Enforcement (RLDE) contained in Rule 413 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). The petition also seeks appointment of the Receiver to protect the interests of Respondent's clients pursuant to Rule 31, RLDE, Rule 413, SCACR.

IT IS ORDERED that Respondent is hereby placed on incapacity inactive status. Based on the record, the Court finds Respondent is unable to practice law or participate in the disciplinary investigation, and further proceedings under Rule 28(b), RLDE, are unnecessary at this time.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Peyre T. Lumpkin, Esquire, is hereby appointed to assume responsibility for Respondent's client files, trust account(s), escrow account(s), operating account(s), and any other law office accounts Respondent may maintain. Mr. Lumpkin shall take action as required by Rule 31, RLDE, Rule 413, SCACR, to protect the interests of Respondent's clients. Mr. Lumpkin may make disbursements from Respondent's trust account(s), escrow account(s), operating account(s), and any other law office accounts Respondent may maintain that are necessary to effectuate this appointment.

This Order, when served on any bank or other financial institution maintaining trust, escrow and/or operating account(s) of Respondent, shall serve as an injunction to prevent Respondent from making withdrawals from the account(s) and shall further serve as notice to the bank or other financial institution that Peyre T. Lumpkin, Esquire, has been duly appointed by this Court.

Finally, this Order, when served on any office of the United States Postal Service, shall serve as notice that Peyre T. Lumpkin, Esquire, has been duly appointed by this Court and has the authority to receive Respondent's mail and the authority to direct that Respondent's mail be delivered to Mr. Lumpkin's office.

Mr. Lumpkin's appointment shall be for a period of no longer than nine months unless an extension of the period of appointment is requested.

s/ Donald W. Beatty C.J. FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina June 6, 2022