## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

South Carolina Department of Social Services, Respondent,

v.

Emily Osborne, Sean Osborne, and John Doe, Defendants,

Of whom Emily Osborne is the Appellant.

In the interest of minors under the age of eighteen.

Appellate Case No. 2022-000225

Appeal From Greenville County Rochelle Y. Conits, Family Court Judge

\_\_\_\_

Unpublished Opinion No. 2022-UP-317 Submitted July 15, 2022 – Filed July 25, 2022

\_\_\_\_

## **AFFIRMED**

\_\_\_\_\_

Robert Mills Ariail, Jr., of Law Office of R. Mills Ariail, Jr., of Greenville, for Appellant.

Amanda Stiles, of South Carolina Department of Social Services, of Greenville, for Respondent.

Robert A. Clark, of Greenville, for the Guardian ad Litem.

\_\_\_\_

**PER CURIAM:** Emily Osborne appeals the family court's final order terminating her parental rights to her minor children. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2021). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987), we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Osborne's counsel.

AFFIRMED.<sup>1</sup>

THOMAS, MCDONALD, and HEWITT, JJ., concur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We decide this case without argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.