THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

South Carolina Department of Social Services, Respondent,
v.
Cadi Rowell, Jose Roberto Cerda, and John Doe, Defendants,
Of whom Cadi Rowell is the Appellant
and
Jose Roberto Cerda is a Respondent.
In the interest of a minor under the age of eighteen.
Appellate Case No. 2022-000121
Appeal From Richland County M. Scott Rankin, Family Court Judge Unpublished Opinion No. 2022-UP-299 Submitted June 29, 2022 – Filed July 12, 2022

AFFIRMED

Heather Vry Scalzo, of Offit Kurman, of Spartanburg, for Appellant.

Scarlet Bell Moore, of Greenville, for Respondent.

Angela L. Kohel, of Richland County CASA, of Columbia, for the Guardian ad Litem.

PER CURIAM: Cadi Rowell appeals the family court's final order terminating her parental rights to her minor child. *See* S.C. Code Ann. § 63-7-2570 (Supp. 2021). Upon a thorough review of the record and the family court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to *Ex parte Cauthen*, 291 S.C. 465, 354 S.E.2d 381 (1987), we find no meritorious issues warrant briefing. Accordingly, we affirm the family court's ruling and relieve Rowell's counsel.

AFFIRMED.¹

THOMAS, MCDONALD, and HEWITT, JJ., concur.

NY 1 :1 41: :4

¹ We decide this case without argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.