## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
v.
Cedrict Hopkins, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2018-002060
Appeal From Richland County L. Casey Manning, Circuit Court Judge
Unpublished Opinion No. 2021-UP-301 Heard June 16, 2021 – Filed August 18, 2021
AFFIRMED
Appellate Defender Joanna Katherine Delany, of Columbia, for Appellant.
Matthew C. Buchanan, of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services, of Columbia, for Respondent.

**PER CURIAM:** Cedrict Hopkins appeals the circuit court's order revoking his probation, arguing the circuit court erred because the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services issued a probation violation citation after his term of probation had expired. We affirm.

We find Hopkins failed to raise this issue to the circuit court during the probation revocation hearing; rather, he raised it for the first time in his motion to reconsider. Thus, this issue was not preserved for appellate review. *See State v. Hamilton*, 333 S.C. 642, 648, 511 S.E.2d 94, 96-97 (Ct. App. 1999) (stating that to be preserved for review, an issue must be raised during the probation revocation hearing and may not be raised for the first time in a motion for reconsideration).

## AFFIRMED.

LOCKEMY, C.J., and HUFF and HEWITT, JJ., concur.