THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Nathan Daniel Martinez, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2016-002494

Appeal From Richland County R. Knox McMahon, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2020-UP-025 Submitted January 1, 2020 – Filed January 29, 2020

APPEAL DISMISSED

Appellate Defender Jessica M. Saxon, of Columbia, and Nathan Daniel Martinez, pro se, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General William M. Blitch, Jr., both of Columbia, for Respondent. **PER CURIAM:** Dismissed after consideration of Appellant's pro se brief and review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.¹

APPEAL DISMISSED.

THOMAS, GEATHERS, and HEWITT, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.