## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
v.
Clifford Dean Canfield, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2016-002574
Appeal From York County John C. Hayes, III, Circuit Court Judge
Unpublished Opinion No. 2019-UP-404 Submitted November 1, 2019 – Filed December 31, 2019
APPEAL DISMISSED

Appellate Defender Taylor Davis Gilliam, of Columbia; and Clifford Dean Canfield, pro se, for Appellant.

Matthew C. Buchanan, of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services, of Columbia, for Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

**PER CURIAM:** Dismissed after consideration of Appellant's pro se brief and review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.<sup>1</sup>

APPEAL DISMISSED.

SHORT, THOMAS, and GEATHERS, JJ., concur.

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.