THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
v.
Jonathan Christian Hughes, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2016-000965
Appeal From Lexington County William H. Seals, Jr., Circuit Court Judge
Unpublished Opinion No. 2018-UP-382 Submitted September 1, 2018 – Filed October 17, 2018
AFFIRMED
Appellate Defender Robert M. Pachak, of Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant Attorney General William Frederick Schumacher, IV, both of Columbia; and Solicitor Samuel R. Hubbard, III, of Lexington, all for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Franklin*, 267 S.C. 240, 246, 226 S.E.2d 896, 898 (1976) (stating an appellate court "has no jurisdiction to review a sentence, provided it is

within the limits provided by statute for the discretion of the trial court, and is not the result of prejudice, oppression or corrupt motive"); S.C. Code Ann. § 16-11-311(B) (2015) (stating first-degree burglary "is a felony punishable by life imprisonment"; however, "[t]he court, in its discretion may sentence the defendant to a term of not less than fifteen years"); S.C. Code Ann. § 16-11-330(A) (2015) ("A person who commits robbery while armed . . . is guilty of a felony and, upon conviction, must be imprisoned for a mandatory minimum term of not less than ten years or more than thirty years"); *State v. Charping*, 333 S.C. 124, 131, 508 S.E.2d 851, 855 (1998) (explaining sentencing judges may consider a codefendant's sentence but they are not required to do so).

AFFIRMED.¹

HUFF, SHORT, and WILLIAMS, JJ., concur.

_

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.