THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Timothy Emmenuel Greene, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2016-001123

Appeal From York County John C. Hayes, III, Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2018-UP-228 Submitted April 1, 2018 – Filed June 6, 2018

AFFIRMED

Appellate Defender Taylor Davis Gilliam, of Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant Attorney General Susan Ranee Saunders, both of Columbia; and Solicitor Kevin Scott Brackett, of York, all for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Dunbar*, 356 S.C. 138, 142, 587 S.E.2d 691, 693-94 (2003) ("In order for an issue to be preserved for appellate review, it must have been

raised to and ruled upon by the trial [court]. Issues not raised and ruled upon in the trial court will not be considered on appeal."); *State v. Wiles*, 383 S.C. 151, 156, 679 S.E.2d 172, 175 (2009) ("Generally, a motion *in limine* is not a final determination; a contemporaneous objection must be made when the evidence is introduced."); *State v. Moses*, 390 S.C. 502, 511, 702 S.E.2d 395, 400 (Ct. App. 2010) ("[I]t [i]s necessary for defense counsel to renew her objection to the introduction of [a] custodial statement in order to preserve the issue for appellate review.").

AFFIRMED.¹

SHORT, THOMAS, and HILL, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.