## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
v.
Patrick Dean Lowrance, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2013-000320
Appeal From Greenville County Letitia H. Verdin, Circuit Court Judge
Unpublished Opinion No. 2017-UP-154 Submitted February 1, 2017 – Filed April 12, 2017
AFFIRMED

Chief Appellate Defender Robert Michael Dudek and Appellate Defender Taylor Davis Gilliam, both of Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior Assistant Deputy Attorney General John Benjamin Aplin, both of Columbia; and Solicitor William Walter Wilkins, of Greenville, for Respondent.

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Woods*, 382 S.C. 153, 158, 676 S.E.2d 128, 131 (2009) ("A

mistrial is the equivalent of no trial and leaves the cause pending in the [trial] court."); *id.* ("It leaves the parties 'as though no trial had taken place." (quoting *Grooms v. Zander*, 246 S.C. 512, 514, 144 S.E.2d 909, 910 (1965))); *State v. Smith*, 336 S.C. 39, 43-44, 518 S.E.2d 294, 296 (Ct. App. 1999) ("Because a mistrial is the equivalent of no trial, the trial [court] could not rely on any evidentiary rulings from the nugatory proceeding."); *State v. Dunbar*, 356 S.C. 138, 142, 587 S.E.2d 691, 693 (2003) ("In order for an issue to be preserved for appellate review, it must have been raised to and ruled upon by the trial [court]."); *id.* at 142, 587 S.E.2d at 693-94 ("Issues not raised and ruled upon in the trial court will not be considered on appeal."); *State v. Hoffman*, 312 S.C. 386, 393, 440 S.E.2d 869, 873 (1994) ("A contemporaneous objection is required to properly preserve an error for appellate review."); *id.* (providing appellant's issue was not preserved because "[t]he defense objection was very broadly made, and not contemporaneous to the . . . [alleged] error").

AFFIRMED.<sup>1</sup>

LOCKEMY, C.J., and HUFF and THOMAS, JJ., concur.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.