## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
v.
Nelapold S. Daniels, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2015-001806
Appeal From Lexington County Robert E. Hood, Circuit Court Judge
Unpublished Opinion No. 2017-UP-049 Submitted December 1, 2016 – Filed January 25, 2017

## **APPEAL DISMISSED**

Appellate Defender Wanda H. Carter, of Columbia, and Nelapold S. Daniels, pro se, for Appellant.

Matthew C. Buchanan, of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services, of Columbia, for Respondent.

\_\_\_\_

**PER CURIAM:** Dismissed after consideration of Appellant's pro se brief and review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.<sup>1</sup>

APPEAL DISMISSED.

HUFF and SHORT, JJ., and MOORE, A.J., concur.

<sup>1</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.