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PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: Wilder Corp. v. Wilke, 330 S.C. 71, 76, 497 S.E.2d 731, 733 (1998) ("It
is axiomatic that an issue cannot be raised for the first time on appeal, but must have been raised to and ruled upon by the trial [court] to be preserved for appellate review."); Staubes v. City of Folly Beach, 339 S.C. 406, 412, 529 S.E.2d 543, 546 (2000) ("Error preservation requirements are intended 'to enable the [trial] court to rule properly after it has considered all relevant facts, law, and arguments.'" (quoting I'On, L.L.C. v. Town of Mt. Pleasant, 338 S.C. 406, 422, 526 S.E.2d 716, 724 (2000))); id. ("Without an initial ruling by the trial court, a reviewing court simply would not be able to evaluate whether the trial court committed error."); West v. Newberry Elec. Coop., 357 S.C. 537, 543, 593 S.E.2d 500, 503 (Ct. App. 2004) (finding an issue that is neither addressed by the trial court in its final order nor raised by way of a Rule 59(e), SCRCP, motion is not preserved for review).

## AFFIRMED. ${ }^{1}$

## LOCKEMY, C.J., and KONDUROS and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.

${ }^{1}$ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.

