## THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

Vera Short, Respondent,
v.
Donnie Lee Short, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2014-001558
Appeal From Chesterfield County Salley Huggins McIntyre, Family Court Judge  Unpublished Opinion No. 2016-UP-188 Submitted March 1, 2016 – Filed May 4, 2016
AFFIRMED
Sarah Crawford Campbell, Melvin Wayne Cockrell, III, and Andrew McLeod Privette, all of Cockrell Law Firm, P.C., of Chesterfield, for Appellant.

**PER CURIAM:** Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *Marquez v. Caudill*, 376 S.C. 229, 246, 656 S.E.2d 737, 745 (2008) ("The decision to award attorney's fees is a matter within the sound discretion of the [family court] and the award will not be reversed on appeal absent an abuse of

Tiffany Brooke Hunt, of Jebaily Law Firm, PA, of

Florence, for Respondent.

discretion."); *E.D.M. v. T.A.M.*, 307 S.C. 471, 476-77, 415 S.E.2d 812, 816 (1992) ("In determining whether an attorney's fee should be awarded, the following factors should be considered: (1) the party's ability to pay his/her own attorney's fee; (2) beneficial results obtained by the attorney; (3) the parties' respective financial conditions; (4) effect of the attorney's fee on each party's standard of living."); *Reiss v. Reiss*, 392 S.C. 198, 210-11, 708 S.E.2d 799, 805 (Ct. App. 2011) (concluding the family court did not abuse its discretion in awarding attorney's fees when it properly considered the *E.D.M.* and *Glasscock*<sup>1</sup> factors); *Bodkin v. Bodkin*, 388 S.C. 203, 223, 694 S.E.2d 230, 241 (Ct. App. 2010) ("This court has previously held when parties fail to cooperate and their behavior prolongs proceedings, this is a basis for holding them responsible for attorney's fees.").

## **AFFIRMED.**<sup>2</sup>

THOMAS, KONDUROS, and GEATHERS, JJ., concur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 304 S.C. 158, 403 S.E.2d 313 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.