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## AFFIRMED
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PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: Wilder Corp. v. Wilke, 330 S.C. 71, 76, 497 S.E.2d 731, 733 (1998) ("It is axiomatic that an issue cannot be raised for the first time on appeal, but must have been raised to and ruled upon by the trial [court] to be preserved for appellate review."); Graves v. Horry-Georgetown Tech. Coll., 391 S.C. 1, 10, 704 S.E.2d 350, 355 (Ct. App. 2010) ("[A] party may not present one argument to the trial court and another on appeal."). ${ }^{1}$

AFFIRMED. ${ }^{2}$

## FEW, C.J., and KONDUROS and LOCKEMY, JJ., concur.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even if we found the issue preserved, we would affirm. See Hackworth v. Greywood at Hammett, LLC, 385 S.C. 110, 118, 682 S.E.2d 871, 876 (Ct. App. 2009) ("[W]hen a party wishes to assert multiple causes of action, including civil conspiracy, it must allege acts in furtherance of the conspiracy and special damages that are separate and independent of the other acts and damages that underlie the other causes of action within the same complaint.").
    ${ }^{2}$ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.

