THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,
v.
Roderick Jermaine Wynn, Appellant.
Appellate Case No. 2014-001887
Appeal From Spartanburg County R. Keith Kelly, Circuit Court Judge
Unpublished Opinion No. 2015-UP-525 Submitted October 1, 2015 – Filed November 18, 2015
Submitted October 1, 2013 – Fried November 18, 2013
AFFIRMED

Appellate Defender Lara Mary Caudy, of Columbia, for Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Assistant Attorney General Mark Reynolds Farthing, both of Columbia; and Solicitor Barry Joe Barnette, of Spartanburg, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Affirmed pursuant to Rule 220(b), SCACR, and the following authorities: *State v. Baccus*, 367 S.C. 41, 48, 625 S.E.2d 216, 220 (2006) ("In

criminal cases, the appellate court sits to review errors of law only."); *State v. Dunbar*, 356 S.C. 138, 141, 587 S.E.2d 691, 694 (2003) ("In order for an issue to be preserved for appellate review, it must have been raised to and ruled upon by the trial judge. Issues not raised and ruled upon in the trial court will not be considered on appeal."); *State v. Johnson*, 363 S.C. 53, 58-59, 609 S.E.2d 520, 523 (2005) ("If a party fails to properly object, the party is procedurally barred from raising the issue on appeal."); *State v. Rios*, 388 S.C. 335, 342, 696 S.E.2d 608, 612 (Ct. App. 2010) (holding the failure to contemporaneously object to jury charges fails to preserve the issue for appellate review).

AFFIRMED.¹

HUFF, WILLIAMS, and THOMAS, JJ., concur.

_

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.